USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #
DATE FILED 10/14/09

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANWAR, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FAIRFIELD GREENWICH LIMITED, *et al.*,

Defendants.

MASTER FILE
09 Civ. 0118 (VM)(THK)

FAIRFIELD SENTRY LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FAIRFIELD GREENWICH GROUP, *et al.*,

Defendants.

09 Civ. 5650 (VM)(THK)

STIPULATION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Fairfield Sentry Limited ("Fairfield Sentry") initiated the action captioned *Fairfield Sentry Limited v. Fairfield Greenwich Group, et al.* in the Supreme Court of the State of New York on or about May 29, 2009 (the "Action");

Anwar et al v. Fairfield Greenwich Limited et al Doc. 280

WHEREAS, Defendant Fairfield Greenwich Advisors, LLC ("Fairfield Greenwich") removed the Action on or about June 19, 2009 to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York;

WHEREAS the Action was consolidated by order dated June 25, 2009 with the above-captioned *Anwar* action for all purposes;

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2009, Fairfield Sentry moved to remand the Action and to vacate the consolidation order (the "Motion to Remand");

WHEREAS, on July 27, 2009, Fairfield Greenwich served and filed papers in opposition of the Motion to Remand;

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2009, the date for Fairfield Sentry to serve and file reply papers in further support of the Motion to Remand was extended from August 21, 2009 to September 25, 2009;

WHEREAS, on September 21, 2009, Kenneth M. Krys and Christopher D. Stride, Virgin Islands court-appointed liquidators of and for Fairfield Sentry Limited requested a pre-motion conference before the Honorable Victor Marrero in anticipation of moving for an order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(c) substituting Fairfield Sentry Limited (in Liquidation), by its Liquidators for plaintiff Fairfield Sentry Limited (the "Substitution Motion"), which request is *sub judice*;

WHEREAS, Fairfield Sentry seeks an extension of the date to serve and file reply papers in further support of the Motion to Remand in light of the anticipated Substitution Motion, and to allow time for the District Court's consideration and ruling on the Substitution Motion, and Fairfield Greenwich does not object to such an extension;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned counsel, that the time for Fairfield Sentry to serve and file reply papers in further support of the Motion to Remand is extended to and including October 16, 2009.

SEWARD & KISSEL LLP

By: ______________________
Jack Yoskowitz
yoskowitz@sewkis.com
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, New York 10004
(212) 574-1200

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fairfield Sentry Limited

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

By: ______________________
Mark G. Cunha
mcunha@stblaw.om
Peter Kazanoff
pkazanoff@stblaw.com
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 455-2000

Attorneys for Defendant Fairfield Greenwich Advisors, LLC

SO ORDERED: 10/14/09

____
Theodore H. Katz, U.S.M.J.